

5/0

## HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 6th February, 1973

No. DOL/HR/73/13331.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons as Judges for the supervision of the 3rd Weekly Draw to be held on 7th February, 1973 :—

1. Shri J. D. Mehta,  
Deputy Labour Commissioner, Haryana,  
Chandigarh.
2. Shri G. P. Singh, IRS.,  
Inspecting Assistant Commissioner,  
Income Tax Office, Sector-15,  
Chandigarh.
3. Shri S. K. Verma,  
Deputy Director,  
Animal Husbandry Department, Haryana,  
Chandigarh.
4. Dr. N. C. Goel,  
Medical Officer, General Hospital,  
Sector-16, Chandigarh.
5. Shri S. R. Verma, (Retd. IAS)  
Kothi No. 11, Sector-5,  
Chandigarh.
6. Mrs. Aggarwal,  
W/O Shri A. C. Aggarwal,  
Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Secretariat Establishment,  
Chandigarh.

H. K. JAIN,

Director of Lotteries and  
Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Finance Department.

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 6th January, 1973

No. 1004-4Lab-73/3848.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Prestolite of India Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 104 of 1972

between

SHRI RATTAN LAL AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. PRESTOLITE OF INDIA LTD.,  
FARIDABAD

*Present:-*

Shri Amar Singh, for the workman.  
Shri S. L. Gupta for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. 1D/FD/107-J-72/11229-37, dated 31st March, 1972, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s. Prestolite of India Ltd., Faridabad and its workman Shri Rattan Lal was referred for adjudication to this court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

"Whether the termination of service of Shri Rattan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

511

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement and Shri Rattan Lal concerned workman has received payment of his dues from the management in full and final settlement of his entire claim,—*vide* receipt Ex. M. 1 and his application, dated 18th March, 1972 Ex. M. 2 and there is now no dispute left between the parties as stated by their authorised representatives.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given as desired by the parties. No order as to costs.

Dated 25th January, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 252, dated 29th January, 1973.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana.  
Rohtak.

The 2nd February, 1973

No. 1075-4Lab-73/3699.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Gedore Tools, (India) Private Ltd; Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 89 of 1972

*Between*

SHRI HARI DUTT JOSHI WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GEDORE TOOLS  
(INDIA) PRIVATE LTD., FARIDABAD.

Present :—

Shri Hari Dutt Joshi, concerned workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

#### AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s Gedore Tools (India) Private Ltd., 10-12 new Industrial Area, Faridabad and its workman Shri Hari Dutt Joshi was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal,—*vide* order No. ID/FD/72/41803, dated the 1st December, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hari Dutt Joshi was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. Shri Hari Dutt Joshi, concerned workman, expressed his desire to settle his account,—*vide* application dated the 24th November, 1972 Exhibit M-1 and the action was accordingly settled as per details given in Ex. M-2. It has further been admitted that he has received payment of the amount found due as per mutual calculation (Rs. 137.00) and he has now no dispute left with the management.

In view of the above a no-dispute award is given as requested by the parties. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Dated the 19th January, 1973.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 87, dated the 19th January, 1973

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Dated the 19th January, 1973.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 6th February, 1973

No. 1106-4Lab-73/3838.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Shri Industries, Railway Road, Gurgaon.

**BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK**

Reference No. 191 of, 1971

*between*

**SHRI MOTI LAL AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SHRI INDUSTRIES, RAILWAY ROAD,  
GURGAON**

*Present :*

Shri Shardha Nand, for the workman.  
Nemo, for the management.

#### AWARD

The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred for adjudication to this court, the following dispute between the management of M/s Shri Industries, Railway Road, Gurgaon and its workman Shri Moti Ram by order No. ID/GG/2-71/17193-97 dated 4th June, 1971.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Moti Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties. None appeared on behalf of the management inspite of service. The workman concerned was, therefore, directed by order dated 8th March, 1972 of my learned predecessor to produce evidence in support of his claim but no evidence has been produced inspite of the fact that the case has been adjourned several times for this purpose. Shri Shardha Nand authorised representative of the workman has made his statement that he has addressed several letters to the workmen to produce his evidence in the case but there is no response from him and he is, therefore, not in a position to proceed with the present case. He has further expressed his ignorance about the whereabouts of the workman and whether he has been gainfully employed anywhere or not.

In view of the above Shri Moti Lal concerned workman can not be entitled to any relief by way of reinstatement or back wages and the award is made accordingly. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 235, dated 26th January, 1973.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.